1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 Χ 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CR 05-060 5 6 -against-7 United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York 8 VINCENT BASCIANO, et al., 9 July 11, 2006 Defendant. 9:30 o'clock a.m. 10 X 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 **APPEARANCES:** 15 16 For the Government: ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF United States Attorney 17 BY: WINSTON CHAN Assistant United States Attorney 18 One Pierrepont Plaza Brooklyn, New York 19 20 For the EPHRAIM SAVITT, ESQ. 21 Attorney for V. Basciano 22 DAVID SCHOEN, ESQ. 23 Attorney for M. Mancuso 24 RICHARD REEVE, ESQ. Attorney for A. Indelicato 25

| | | 2 |
|----------|--|---|
| 1 | MAUDIOS OSDOADS SOO | |
| 2 | MAURICE SERCARZ, ESQ. MITCHELL DINNERSTEIN, ESQ. | |
| 3 | Attorneys for A. Donato | |
| 4 | LEE GINSBERG, ESQ. Attorney for A. Aiello | |
| 5 | ACCOUNTED TO A. ATELIO | |
| 6 | OLIVER STORCH, ESQ. Attorney for V. Masi | |
| 7 | Accorning for F. Hasi | |
| 8 | Court Reporter: Gene Rudolph 225 Cadman Plaza East | |
| 9 | Brooklyn, New York (718) 613-2538 | |
| 10 | ···-, -· | |
| 11 | Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | THE CLERK: United States versus Basciano, et al. | |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. Appearances for the | |
| 16 | government. | |
| 17 | MR. CHAN: Winston Chan for the United States. | |
| 18 19 | Good morning, Your Honor. | |
| 20 | THE COURT: For Vincent Basciano? MR. SAVITT: Ephraim Savitt. | |
| 21 | Good morning, Your Honor. | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Good morning. | |
| 23 | Good morning, Mr. Basciano. | |
| 24 | For Michael Mancuso? | |
| 25 | MR. SCHOEN: David Schoen, Your Honor. | |
| | | |

```
3
 1
               THE COURT:
                           Good morning.
 2
               Mr. Mancuso, good morning.
 3
               Anthony Indelicato?
               MR. DINNERSTEIN: Your Honor, is that Mr. Reeve?
 4
                                                                  Is
 5
     that Mr. Reeve?
 6
               THE COURT: Mr. Reeve.
               MR. DINNERSTEIN: Mr. Reeve is here. I guess he
 7
 8
    went out into the hall. I went to look for him. I didn't
 9
     find him. I presume he is --
10
               THE COURT: Let's go on.
11
               Where is Mr. Indelicato?
12
               Good morning, sir.
13
               For Anthony Donato?
14
               MR. SERCARZ: Maurice Sercarz, Your Honor.
15
               Good morning.
16
               THE COURT: Good morning.
17
               MR. DINNERSTEIN: And Mitchell Dinnerstein.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
               Good morning, Mr. Donato.
20
               For Anthony Aiello?
21
               MR. GINSBERG: Lee Ginsberg appearing for
22
    Mr. Aiello.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               Mr. Aiello, good morning.
               And Vincenzo Masi?
25
```

4 1 MR. STORCH: Oliver Storch. 2 Good morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Masi. 4 All right. Where were we? 5 MR. DINNERSTEIN: Mr. Reeve. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Reeve? 7 MR. REEVE: Apologies, Your Honor. 8 Good morning. 9 THE COURT: Welcome to my court. 10 All right. 11 MR. CHAN: Judge, we are here on a status conference 12 that was scheduled awhile ago, before we had that status 13 conference three or four weeks ago. The government has no new 14 business for today. 15 THE COURT: All right. I'm sure there is something. 16 What's going on? I want to know, let's put on the record, 17 what the schedule is for the submission of the materials on 18 mitigation for the Attorney General. 19 MR. CHAN: Your Honor, the deadline is August 21st 20 for the defendants who have not yet submitted their mitigation 21 submissions to the government. The government estimates that 22 it would take us about a month or so to develop a recommendation within our office and to submit it to the 23 24 Department of Justice and they take generally about two or 25 three months to give us an answer. We are estimating that

```
5
 1
    about January we will have decisions on all the defendants.
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Mr. Basciano has submitted, is that
 3
    right?
 4
               MR. CHAN:
                         That is correct.
 5
              MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              We may supplement, however.
 7
              THE COURT: You have until August 21st.
 8
              MR. CHAN: That's right.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Then as to Mr. Mancuso, Mr. Schoen?
10
              MR. SCHOEN: Mr. Lewis is working on that aspect of
    the case, Your Honor. He had a conflict with another court
11
12
    today. He wasn't able to be here.
13
              THE COURT: All right. You will be ready by that
14
    date?
15
              MR. SCHOEN: I certainly hope so, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                          I hope so too.
17
              And let's see. Who else? Mr. Indelicato?
18
              MR. REEVE: Yes, Your Honor. We would hope to
19
    comply with that schedule as well.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dinnerstein?
21
              MR. DINNERSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. We expect to be
22
    able to comply with the August 21st date, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Ginsberg?
24
              MR. GINSBERG: Yes, Your Honor. We have made our
25
    submission already, quite long ago.
```

Case 1:05-cr-00060-NGG Document 266 Filed 02/16/07 Page 6 of 14 6 THE COURT: You have? MR. GINSBERG: Yes. THE COURT: We have Mr. Aiello and Mr. Basciano's done. The other three were the later indicted, I guess it is, for the defendants on the death eligible charge remain to be submitted and there may be supplements. MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then you are going to take about a month, do you think? That's correct. MR. CHAN: THE COURT: All right. I need to be able to plan. Have I given you a date for a trial yet? MR. CHAN: You have; April 16th. THE COURT: 2007. What we may do, everything depends on whether the Attorney General decides to seek the death penalty against one or more of the defendants who are death eligible. case it is likely there will be a motion for severance and I will deal with that. But should the Attorney General not seek the death penalty, I would expect to try all the defendants together

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because I don't have time to do it any other way. There is plenty of room in the courtroom.

So just everyone put down on your schedule, on your

GR **OCR** CM **CRR CSR**

7 calendar, that we are going to try this case in April of 2007. 1 2 About how long do you think the case will take to 3 try? 4 MR. CHAN: About two-and-a-half months. 5 THE COURT: Two-and-a-half months. 6 You are going to seek an anonymous, semi-sequestered 7 jury? 8 MR. CHAN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Assuming that I grant your motion in 10 that regard, that would take us into August. 11 Would that include jury selection? 12 MR. CHAN: I don't think so. I think jury selection 13 in this case would take an extra long time because it would 14 have to be -- I guess we are assuming it is not a death 15 penalty. 16 THE COURT: I am assuming it is not a death penalty. 17 MR. CHAN: Then it would include jury selection. 18 THE COURT: So we are talking about into July, 19 basically. 20 Okay. Just block out the months of mid-April 21 through mid-July. Don't plan any long European vacations or 22 any short European vacations during that period. 23 All right. That gives us a sense. Then we will 24 rearrange matters with the calendar, depending upon how the 25 Attorney General comes down on the issue at hand.

Okay. Is there anything from the defense, any clarification anyone needs, any questions that have come up that we can deal with today?

MR. GINSBERG: Clarification, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. GINSBERG: A while back there was a matter pending before Your Honor that had been brought on by Mr. Cicale's lawyers regarding the New York City Police Department homicide file. There will be some litigation begun. There were some letters written back and forth. It is not clear to me from the letters whether Your Honor actually had received the file because the legal counsel for the New York City Police Department become involved.

In any event, it is my intention, with the Court's permission, to pick up where that left off. I just didn't want to have a letter writing campaign. I thought it would be easier if I just raised it with the Court, ask permission to essentially intervene, although I would still have the same right that Mr. Cicale had at the time. I would like to make a submission to the Court and then eventually the Court can schedule either a hearing on the matter or make a determination on the papers.

THE COURT: Why don't you make a written submission so the government can respond. I think I have some of those records that have been provided to me by the New York City

9

Police Department. To the extent that you believe you have the right to, or need for any of these materials, you should bring that to my attention in writing. Then we will have whatever back and forth we need to have. I will make a decision.

MR. GINSBERG: That's fine.

THE COURT: I'm sure I didn't make a decision on Mr. Cicale's application.

MR. GINSBERG: No, I believe you didn't.

THE COURT: It became moot.

MR. GINSBERG: Yes. It was sort of a two-pronged determination. One, whether it would be turned over to the defense or, if not, short of that, whether the Court would then review the material and make a determination.

THE COURT: In camera.

MR. CHAN: I think for the record, Judge, when that motion was originally filed it was litigated. We submitted to you the file itself for your review and then --

THE COURT: Then I had --

MR. CHAN: -- circumstances changed and there was no reason to rule on it.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I am happy to consider whatever you submit.

MR. GINSBERG: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SCHOEN: The Court made a comment earlier that it intends to try all of the defendants together absent some distinction between defendants with respect to the death penalty. I just want to make the point, I didn't want my silence to be acquiescence in that as a general ruling. We probably will be making a severance motion on other grounds.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. SCHOEN: I didn't want to hear later that you were quiet at the time when I told you and we will try them together.

THE COURT: I am not prejudging any motion that you might desire to make and we have plenty of time, obviously. I just wanted you to know that it is my predisposition, all things being equal, that I try all of the defendants together on one indictment, as long as I don't have more than seven or eight. There are six here. So that's how I intend to handle it, assuming there is no certification for the death penalty by the Attorney General. That presupposes a lot, but I have to be able to plan since I have a number of these cases to calendar for trial.

Okay. Does anybody have anything else for today?

I guess --

MR. STORCH: One minor housekeeping matter, Your Honor. That's the reconsidering issue in terms of separations that are occurring with the Metropolitan Detention Center.

It's come to my attention that the MDC, on information and belief, they are not the ones who are separating the defendants, Your Honor. I believe Mr. Seigel on behalf of the government last time indicated that he thought it was the institution that had somehow on their own instituted some sort of separation. I have been told that it's actually coming from the government.

I would just put it in writing, I guess, so perhaps the government can respond and perhaps Your Honor can rule on this. It has just become impossible to visit clients and have a meaningful legal visit, forget about even codefendant meetings. Just there are so many permutations of so many various steps, Your Honor. Even if we go to the jail at 9:00 o'clock in the morning sometimes, Your Honor, we have to wait until 5:00 in the afternoon. It is just -- just becomes an impossible task to even have a basic legal visit.

I would just ask that the government really review which separations they deem necessary and perhaps on their own sort of lift these separations.

THE COURT: Yes. Are you aware --

MR. CHAN: I am. Mr. Seigel has informed me that he is discussing with the MDC about which separations can or cannot be lifted at this time. They are in negotiations about that currently.

THE COURT: He is in negotiation with the MDC?

12 1 MR. CHAN: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. CHAN: Or discussion, I should say, as opposed 4 to negotiation. 5 THE COURT: Call it what you will. 6 How soon will we know so that I don't have to -- I 7 am trying to minimize paperwork. The federal government has a 8 Paperwork Reduction Act. Have you ever heard of that? 9 MR. CHAN: Yes. 10 THE COURT: It only applies --11 MR. CHAN: Vaguely. 12 THE COURT: It applies to the executive branch and 13 when I was with the executive branch I was very much aware of 14 the Paperwork Reduction Act. I am thinking of implementing it 15 sua sponte here. One way of implementing it is to ask you to 16 demur for just a moment while the government finds out how 17 much of these separations need to remain in effect and how 18 many of them don't. Then if you could inform the parties and 19 the Court, if that's not satisfactory to any of the parties, 20 they can then contact me. 21 MR. CHAN: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. How soon will I know? 23 MR. CHAN: One week. 24 THE COURT: One week.

Wait a week, please. If it still hasn't worked out

in such a way that you can efficiently fulfill your 1 2 responsibilities to your client, you can let me know that. 3 MR. STORCH: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything further for 4 5 today? 6 MR. CHAN: The government asks that time be excluded 7 between now -- and we actually don't have another 8 date -- between now and the trial date, but I suppose we 9 should set a trial date. 10 THE COURT: We are not even at a point for motions 11 because we are waiting on the Attorney General. 12 Well, August 21st -- why don't we set a date in 13 September. September 14th, at 9:30. It is a Thursday. Are 14 you all available? 15 MR. SERCARZ: Your Honor, for Anthony Donato, 16 Maurice Sercarz. 17 I am starting a trial September 11th, but if 18 Mr. Dinnerstein can cover, I don't see any need --19 THE COURT: That's fine. 20 MR. DINNERSTEIN: I expect, Your Honor, to be here 21 on September 14th. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Let's handle it that way. 23 matter will still be under review by either 24 the -- certification will be under review by either the US 25 Attorney in Brooklyn or it may have been sent over to the

Attorney General and we can have a status report.

I would also like to know at that time what the Attorney General's potential schedule is because I am working back from April and that, assuming that we work back from April, a decision in January is going to put the squeeze on us for trial and I really would like to get matters moving.

MR. CHAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand there are many of these cases down there, but this case has a -- this is an '05 case. We are in '06. By that time it will be '07. I need to move the case.

All right. Please be prepared to let me know what they -- what the expectation is of when the Attorney General will have a response.

MR, CHAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further for today?

MR. SAVITT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to exclude the time between today and September 14, 2006 in the interests of justice as this has been designated a complex case and in view of the fact that there is a pending certification question as to the death penalty.

Have a nice day.

(Matter concludes.)